■ Research Article

The New Academic Reality: Preparing Faculty for Rapid AI Adoption

Richard M. Reis, Ph.D.
Research Liaison, Stanford Quantum Mechanical Engineering Laboratory

FUTUREd, Volume 1, Issue 1 (2026)

Pages: 23 - 29

ISSN: 2760-8263 (print)
ISSN: 2760-8271 (Online)

Keywords:

AI and the Professoriate, AI-Enabled Higher Education, Academic Labor and the Postdoc Bottleneck, Research Integrity and Scholarly Practice in the Age of AI

Corresponding author:
Richard Reis
reis@stanford.edu

Abstract: This article explores how colleges and universities can sustain meaningful teaching, research, and mentorship as AI becomes a full academic partner. It examines the profound transformation now reshaping the professoriate - fewer human faculty, more AI faculty - and the institutional, economic, and human factors that will determine how higher education adapts. Drawing on emerging practices in AI-enabled teaching and research, it outlines new roles for tomorrow's professors and three strategies essential for scholarly success: building global AI-enhanced networks, communicating research to wider audiences, and strengthening research integrity. The article then suggests four ways to address the postdoc bottleneck problem in which there is a total supply and demand mismatch for academic positions. It concludes with a forward-looking academic compact defining what remains uniquely human in the age of AI.

1. INTRODUCTION: WHEN THE OLD MAP NO LONGER FITS

Only a few years ago, artificial intelligence occupied the margins of academic life. A small number of faculty experimented with automated grading, early tutoring systems, or basic analytics tools, while most regarded AI as a future convenience rather than a force capable of reshaping higher education. Conversations about AI were speculative and cautious, often concluding that it might someday save time - but not fundamentally alter the nature of academic work.

That era has ended.

We are now living through what might best be described as a *Ptolemaic moment* for higher education - a moment when an established system no longer fits observable reality, and incremental adjustments cannot resolve the mismatch. AI is rendering long-standing faculty structures increasingly untenable, not through a single dramatic disruption but through steady, compounding change.

Today, AI sits at the center of academic activity. It writes code, analyzes complex datasets, simulates experiments, drafts research papers, supports instruction, coaches students, and increasingly

serves as both collaborator and teacher. Its presence is reshaping faculty workloads, academic careers, student expectations, and institutional economics. What many once imagined as a gradual evolution has become a rapid reordering of the academic enterprise.

Which raises a key question: **How can such institutions sustain meaningful teaching, research, and mentorship when AI becomes a full academic partner?**

The stakes could not be higher. The professoriate is being reshaped not only by AI itself, but by forces that amplify its influence - demographic shifts, declining enrollments, political pressures, growing skepticism about the value of a degree, and the relentless economics of digital delivery. Institutions that adapt thoughtfully may thrive; those that resist risk deep structural stress and, in some cases, irrelevance.

The professoriate is entering a structural transition. Over the next decade, artificial intelligence will assume responsibility for significant portions of teaching, research support, and academic administration. The central challenge for universities will not be whether AI is adopted but how the human roles of faculty are redefined. This essay examines how institutions can sustain meaningful teaching, research, and mentorship as AI becomes a full academic partner.

It is also important to keep in mind that while on a global scale most post-secondary students and faculty are at institutions that offer bachelors, masters and doctorate degrees, there are many other types of colleges and universities that offer bachelor's and master's degree only. However, since even these institutions now require their faculty to have PhDs, this article will concentrate on the impact AI is having on research universities that offer all three types of degrees.

Evidence suggests that the transition is already underway. Surveys conducted in 2024 and 2025 indicate that generative AI tools have become embedded in student learning practices across many institutions. A global study by the Digital Education Council found that approximately **86 percent of university students reported using generative AI in their coursework**, often as their first source for explanations, study support, and code debugging. Faculty adoption has also accelerated. EDUCAUSE surveys report that a growing share of instructors are experimenting with AI tools for course design, feedback, and instructional support. While the long-term effects remain uncertain, these early indicators suggest that AI is rapidly becoming part of the everyday academic infrastructure rather than a peripheral technology. (D.E.C. 2024), (EDUCAUSE 2024).

2. A PROVOCATIVE PREDICTIONAND WHY IT RESONATES

In *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, Scott Latham offered a prediction that startled many readers. (Latham, S. 2025). He argued that as today's full professors retire, their positions will not be replaced. Instead, AI systems will assume responsibility for large numbers of courses, particularly standardized offerings, leading to permanent reductions in faculty lines.

It is a deliberately unsettling claim, and for good reason. It forces us to confront a possibility that many administrators quietly acknowledge: AI will reshape the academic workforce in lasting ways.

Yet several realities complicate this scenario. Accreditation and regulation still demand human oversight and responsibility. Parents and students continue to expect meaningful human interaction, particularly at the undergraduate level. Faculty governance structures resist abrupt change. And while economic incentives favor AI adoption, institutions must also protect reputation, educational quality, and mission.

Even so, Latham's argument captures a truth that is difficult to ignore. The question is no longer whether AI will alter the composition of the professoriate, but how - and what roles human faculty will play once it does.

In practice, "AI faculty" will not hold appointments or vote in faculty senates, but they will increasingly perform functions once associated with faculty roles: delivering lectures, tutoring students, drafting research papers, and assisting in discovery.

Based on current trajectories, it is reasonable to expect that by the end of this decade, AI faculty will be teaching many large, enrollment-heavy introductory and service courses, as well as a significant portion of remedial instruction. By the mid-2030s, AI is likely to handle a substantial share of undergraduate and early graduate coursework across a wide range of institutions, typically under human supervision but often without direct human instruction. (Chan, C. K. Y., & Tsi, L. H. Y. 2023).

In some cases, this will reduce the number of human faculty. In others it will not. In all cases, academic roles will change.

3. WHY AI IS DIFFERENT FROM EVERY TECHNOLOGY THAT CAME BEFORE

AI is not simply another educational technology layered onto existing practices. It represents a fundamentally new kind of intellectual partner - one capable of analyzing information, generating ideas, and acting at speeds and scales no human can match. Academic work, long defined by slow and deliberate human processes, is now being reshaped by a partner that operates continuously and improves exponentially.

AI systems evolve far faster than human learning curves. Tools that seemed unreliable a year ago can become indispensable in a matter of months. Capabilities that once felt speculative - large-scale simulation, automated synthesis of entire literatures, real-time instructional adaptation - are now routine.

As a result, AI now touches nearly every academic task. Literature reviews that once took months can be completed in days. Code can be written, debugged, and optimized in hours rather than weeks. Data can be cleaned, analyzed, visualized, and reanalyzed at scales previously unimaginable. Research design, hypothesis generation, grant drafting, translation, editing, and public communication are all being accelerated.

Equally important, AI has crossed a conceptual threshold. **It no longer merely retrieves information; it helps create it.** It proposes hypotheses, designs experimental protocols, critiques research drafts, identifies conceptual gaps in entire fields, and suggests alternative theoretical frameworks. In teaching, it provides adaptive tutoring, instant feedback, personalized learning pathways, and round-the-clock support for thousands of students at once.

AI's emerging role as a contributor to scientific discovery is already visible in several fields. One of the most widely cited examples is **DeepMind's AlphaFold system**, which predicted the three-dimensional structures of more than **200 million proteins**, solving a long-standing challenge in molecular biology and accelerating research across medicine and biotechnology. Similar machine-learning systems are now being used to identify new materials, accelerate drug discovery, and explore large chemical design spaces that would be difficult for human researchers to examine alone. These developments illustrate how AI is evolving from a computational tool into a partner capable of generating new scientific insights. (Jumper, J. et al. 2021), (Merchant, A. et al. 2023).

AI has become a **co-thinker**, a **co-designer**, and in some contexts a **co-discoverer**.

Economic forces further accelerate adoption. When a university can deploy an AI system that teaches a thousand-student introductory course with perfect consistency, continuous availability, and near-zero marginal cost, the incentive is obvious. Yet these efficiencies come with risks: dependence on opaque systems, biased or inaccurate outputs, threats to academic freedom, erosion of human expertise in foundational areas, and shifting expectations about faculty labor.

AI adoption will continue - but its success depends on pairing efficiency with human judgment, integrity, and creativity.

4. FROM AI TOOLS TO AI-NATIVE RESEARCH ENVIRONMENTS

A further shift now under way is the emergence of AI-native research workspaces - integrated environments in which artificial intelligence is embedded continuously across the research process rather than accessed episodically as a tool. Platforms such as Prism, a free AI-native

workspace for scientists introduced in late 2025, illustrate this transition. (OpenAI. 2025).

In these environments, AI does not merely assist with isolated tasks such as drafting text or analyzing data. Instead, it maintains persistent awareness of a project's goals, methods, datasets, and prior decisions, allowing it to function as a sustained research collaborator. Literature review, hypothesis generation, experimental design, code development, data analysis, writing, and revision occur within a shared cognitive workspace that supports both human and machine contributors.

The implications for academic research are substantial. Small labs gain capabilities once limited to large teams. International collaborations become easier to coordinate as AI mediates language, disciplinary conventions, and documentation standards. Equally important, these platforms can record the provenance of ideas, data, and AI-assisted contributions, supporting transparency and reproducibility at a time when research integrity is under increasing strain.

Over the next few years, such environments are likely to become standard infrastructure in research universities, reshaping not only how scholarship is produced, but how collaboration, mentorship, and credit are structured. OpenAI. (2025).

5. STRUCTURAL PRESSURES ACCELERATING THE SHIFT

AI's rise coincides with - and amplifies - other pressures reshaping higher education. Automation is steadily mastering tasks once thought to require deep human expertise: grading essays, evaluating problem sets, generating rubrics, synthesizing literature, and even designing experiments. At the same time, economic constraints intensify. Public investment is declining, enrollments are uneven, skepticism about student debt is growing, and alternatives such as certificates, micro-credentials, and apprenticeships continue to expand.

Student behavior is changing just as rapidly. Many students now turn first to AI for homework help, conceptual explanations, code debugging, and what amounts to twenty-four-hour office hours. On many campuses, AI has become the primary point of academic support rather than a supplemental resource.

For those who lead academic institutions, the central strategic question becomes: **How much of our limited resources should go to human talent and how much to AI infrastructure?**

This question is not theoretical. It will shape budgets, faculty lines, staff structures, and student expectations for years to come.

6. AI IN PRACTICE: LESSONS FROM STANFORD

At my institution, Stanford University, AI tools are already being deployed in ways that illustrate this emerging partnership. Tutor CoPilot, developed by Stanford researchers, is designed not to replace human tutors but to support them during live sessions, particularly in mathematics. (Wang, R. E., Ribeiro, A. T., Robinson, C. D., Loeb, S., & Demszky, D. 2025). Rather than supplying answers, it offers expert-level guidance - suggesting prompts, scaffolding strategies, and questions that help tutors guide students more effectively.

In research, Stanford Medicine has created teams of virtual scientists - AI systems designed to assist human researchers in tackling complex laboratory problems. These systems generate hypotheses, analyze experimental results, and suggest next steps, accelerating discovery while leaving final judgment to human investigators. (Armitage, H. 2025).

These examples point toward a hybrid future rather than wholesale replacement.

7. THE EVOLVING ROLE OF THE PROFESSOR

Despite dire predictions, AI is unlikely to diminish the importance of the professoriate. Instead, it will elevate the uniquely human dimensions of academic work. As AI absorbs routine tasks - administration, grading, analytics, basic tutoring, early-stage drafting, standardized instruction - faculty attention will increasingly shift toward mentorship, ethical - judgment, creativity, synthesis, and oversight.

Human faculty will play a central role in managing ambiguity, providing moral and intellectual guidance, sustaining institutional memory, and cultivating the relationships that make learning meaningful. Revolutionary research will continue to be driven by PhD students and postdocs, guided by thoughtful human mentors who help frame questions, challenge assumptions, and model integrity.

In this hybrid model, human insight matters more, not less.

8. THREE STRATEGIES FOR RESEARCH IN AN AI-ASSISTED WORLD

To navigate this transition successfully, institutions must rethink how they support research and scholarship. Three strategies are particularly important.

First, universities must embrace global, AI-enabled research networks. While international partnerships have been on-going for decades, they need to be taken to a new level if the size and resources of individual departments become more limited. As noted above, AI-native research workspaces among others can support these international collaborations in ways that go beyond managing large, multi-institutional projects: by translating languages and disciplinary norms in real time, harmonizing data and metadata standards across national systems, navigating differing regulatory and funding requirements, and coordinating work across time zones. Today, a climate scientist in Chile can run high-resolution models on supercomputers in Switzerland; a physics team in Nigeria can analyze data collected in Canada; and a marine biology group in New Zealand can share real-time video from deep-sea dives with partners in Norway. At the same time, international teamwork brings persistent challenges - differences in culture, trust, governance, and incentives - that may feel sharper as collaborations become more global, making AI-enabled coordination necessary but not sufficient.

Second, faculty must communicate their work more effectively to broader audiences. Public understanding shapes political support, which in turn shapes funding. AI can help scholars translate complex research into accessible language, generate visual explanations, design outreach materials for policymakers and journalists, and prepare clear responses to public questions. In an era of growing misinformation, scholars who communicate clearly - and widely - will wield disproportionate influence.

One approach is to start every presentation with three key slides: (1) a technical and non-technical title, (2) the question or problem the research addresses, and (3) why it matters.

Figures 1 to 3 show an example taken from my Quantum Mechanical Engineering Laboratory at Stanford.

Electrical and Magnetic Characterization of Topological Edge Modes

Or

Uncovering Strange Electrical and Magnetic Effects at the Edges of Exotic Next-Generation Materials

Figure 1 - Technical and Non-Technical Title

The problem this research seeks to address is how to use experiments to find and study special behaviors at the edges of certain exotic materials that exhibit unique electronic and magnetic properties.

Figure 2 - The Question or Problem the Research Addresses

Addressing this problem tackles a fundamental question in modern physics with wide-ranging technological implications for revolutionizing electronics, computing, and energy transmission and storage.

Figure 3 - Why it matters

Third, research integrity must be strengthened through transparent, verifiable practices. As AI makes it easier to generate text, images, data, and even entire analyses, it also raises the stakes for how scholars establish credibility. Successful faculty will treat integrity as part of their research design: pre-registering hypotheses where appropriate, maintaining clear provenance of data

and AI-assisted workflows, and building experiments and models that can be independently inspected and reproduced. AI itself will play a dual role - lowering barriers to fabrication, but also enabling powerful new tools to detect anomalies, inconsistencies, image manipulation, and statistical irregularities at scale. Making data, code, and methods openly available will no longer be optional but foundational. In an era of rapidly expanding research output, trust - earned through reproducibility, transparency, and methodological rigor - becomes a central scholarly contribution and a key currency of academic influence.

9. RETHINKING THE POSTDOC BOTTLENECK

The effects of AI will not be limited to teaching and research workflows. They will also reshape the academic labor pipeline. Each year in the United States, roughly 25,000 postdocs compete for about 6,500 tenure-track positions, producing widespread uncertainty, burnout, and delayed life decisions. (Pansieri, Jonathan, et.al 2025)

The imbalance between doctoral training and academic employment has been documented for decades. National studies of academic career outcomes estimate that **fewer than 20 percent of PhD graduates ultimately obtain tenure-track faculty positions**, leaving many highly trained researchers navigating a prolonged series of temporary positions. Reports from the National Academies and other organizations have warned that the current structure can delay career stability, discourage talented researchers, and create inefficiencies in the research workforce. The emergence of AI-assisted research tools may not eliminate this structural mismatch, but it could create opportunities to redesign how research teams are organized and how early-career scholars contribute to discovery. (National Academies of Sciences, 2018), (Sauermann, H., & Roach, M. 2016).

AI creates an opportunity - perhaps an obligation - to rethink this structure.

One possibility is the introduction of "AI postdoc" - systems that generate hypotheses, design experiments, run simulations, and draft papers, allowing human postdocs to focus on higher-level intellectual work. Another is rebalancing the workforce, using AI-driven efficiencies to support stable, well-compensated research roles outside the tenure track. Universities can also expand non-academic pathways through industry partnerships, entrepreneurship programs, and translational fellowships. Finally, institutions must stop assuming that every PhD requires a postdoc; many careers in teaching, administration, and leadership do not.

A smaller, better-supported postdoc system would benefit both scholars and institutions.

10. A NEW ACADEMIC COMPACT

In an AI-rich future, what remains uniquely human - mentorship, moral judgment, creativity, institutional memory, trust, and the joy of shared discovery - becomes the core of academic life rather than a peripheral benefit. AI can amplify knowledge, but only humans can give it meaning.

11. CONCLUSION: DESIGNING A FUTURE WORTH HAVING

The future of higher education will not be defined by a choice between humans and AI, but by how well we design their partnership. The coming decade will bring fewer human faculty teaching large introductory courses, more AI-enabled instruction and research, expanded human roles in mentorship and ethics, new strategies for scholarly success, a reimagined academic labor structure, and a renewed emphasis on integrity and trust.

This transformation is not a crisis. It is an opportunity - if we approach it with foresight, humility, and a willingness to redesign the academic enterprise for a world in which AI is a full and indispensable partner. The universities that thrive in the coming era will not be those that resist artificial intelligence, nor those that surrender to it, but those that design institutions where human judgment and machine intelligence deepen one another.

REFERENCES

Digital Education Council. (2024). Global AI Student Survey.

EDUCAUSE. (2024). Students and Technology Report.

Latham, S. (2025). Are you ready for the AI university? The Chronicle of Higher Education.

Chan, C. K. Y., & Tsi, L. H. Y. (2023). The AI revolution in education: Will AI replace or assist teachers in higher education?

Jumper, J. et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature.

Merchant, A. et al. (2023). Scaling deep learning for materials discovery. Nature.

OpenAI. (2025). Prism: An AI-native workspace for scientific writing and collaboration. OpenAI Research Brief.

Wang, R. E., Ribeiro, A. T., Robinson, C. D., Loeb, S., & Demszky, D. (2025). CoPilot Tutor: A human–AI approach for scaling real-time expertise. National Student Support Accelerator.

Armitage, H. (2025, July 29). Researchers create "virtual scientists" to solve complex biological problems. Stanford Medicine News Center.

Pansieri, J., Figiel, S., Menassa, D., Ricigliano, V. A. G., Seferoglu, M., Borrelli, S., Szejko, N., Samadzadeh, S., Boldrini, V., Zarco, L., Correa-Díaz, E. P., Pozzilli, V., Marenna, S., Carnero Contentti, E., Koc, E. R., Dalla Costa, G., Casallas-Vanegas, A., Bakirtzis, C., Gluscevic, S., Tunç, A., & Gomez Figueroa, E. (2025). The postdoc paradox: A career without a path. Brain, 148(12), 4175–4179. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaf314

National Academies of Sciences. (2018). The Next Generation of Biomedical and Behavioral Sciences Researchers.

Sauermann, H., & Roach, M. (2016). Why pursue the postdoc path? Research Policy.